Development of master curricula for natural disasters risk management in
Western Balkan countries (573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP)

Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

CHECK LIST FOR REVIEW OF DELIVERABLES[1]

Deliverable title	D2.3 - Training of teaching staff for innovative teaching methods Training report - Middlesex University (MUHEC), London, United Kingdom, 29-30 June 2017
Work package	WP2 – Development of Master Curricula
Author	Kurt Glock
Deliverable Date	30 June 2017

Project number: 573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"

---

[1] *This questionnaire concerns quality issues of NatRisk upon completion of each deliverable. Please complete the form and submit it by email Project Coordinator (natriskuni@gmail.com) and WP leader. The achieved results will be useful for the project's risk management. They will also make part of the QAC final report, as well as of the Coordinator's progress and final reporting to EACEA.*

Development of master curricula for natural disasters risk management in
Western Balkan countries (573806-EPP-1-2016-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP)

Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

Assurance point	Issues to be addressed	Assessment	Comments	Reccomendations
Compliance with NatRisk objectives	*Does the deliverable comply with the overall objectives of the project?*	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially		
Compliance with the specfic objectives of the WP	*Does the deliverable comply with the WP Objectives as specified in the WP description?*	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially		
Correspondence with the description of work of the relevant activity	*Does the deliverable correspond with the activity description as specified in the Application Form?*	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially		
Compliance with the deliverables format	*Is the deliverable presented using the Project's deliverable format – Annex B?*	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially		
Adequacy of complementary information	*Examples of complementary info:* *- Sources used,* *- Bibliography,* *- List of contacts,* *- Methodology used.*	☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially		
Adequacy of written language	*Level of written English*	☒ Excellent ☐ Adequate ☐ Poor		
Overall assessment and suggestions for improvement				
Deadline for submission of amended version of the deliverable				